Rakym Durham,	:	<b>United States District Court</b>
Plaintiff,	:	Eastern District of Pennsylvania
vs.	:	
Officer Carr #1250 and Officer Clift #1259	:	Civil Division – Civil Rights
Defendants.	:	Case No.: 2:20-cv-03944

		ORD)	<u>ER</u>	
	AND NOW, this	day of	, 20	, Plaintiff's Motion for
Leave	e to Amend Complaint is	GRANTED pursua	nt to F.R.C.P. 15(a)(	1)(B).
			BY THE	COURT:
			Honorable	e Judge Mark Kearney

Rakym Durham, : United States District Court

Plaintiff, : Eastern District of Pennsylvania

VS.

Officer Carr #1250 and Officer Clift #1259 : Civil Division – Civil Rights

**Defendants.** : Case No.: 2:20-cv-03944

### PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, pursuant to Rule 15(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, moves for leave of Court to file an Amended Complaint. Further, in support of this Motion, moving Plaintiff incorporates by reference and rely upon the Memorandum of Law filed herewith.

Respectfully Submitted,

/S/ David Wesley Cornish, Esquire David Wesley Cornish, Esquire

Bar ID #: 310865

230 South Broad Street, 17th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102 Phone: 212-444-2039

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

**DATE**: June 21, 2021

Rakym Durham, : United States District Court

Plaintiff, : Eastern District of Pennsylvania

VS.

Officer Carr #1250 and Officer Clift #1259 : Civil Division – Civil Rights

**Defendants.** : Case No.: 2:20-cv-03944

# MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff originally filed a Complaint, to which the Defense filed a Motion to Dismiss, to which a subsequent Amended Complaint was filed. *See* Docket No. 15. This motion is being made pursuant to both parties conducting discovery. This would be the second request for a motion for leave to amend Plaintiff's complaint. The Defense does not object to this Amended Complaint being filed.

#### II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2) provides that a "Other Amendments. In all other cases, a party may amend its pleading only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The court should freely give leave when justice so requires."

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1)(B) provides that a "party may amend its pleading once as a matter of course within . . . 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f)."

#### III. ARGUMENT

In *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178 (1962), the Court noted that Rule 15(a) declares that leave to amend shall be "freely given" when justice so requires and that "this mandate is to be heeded." *Id.* at 230. The Court noted that a Plaintiff ought to be afforded the opportunity to amend a complaint so long as there is no apparent undue delay, bad faith, or dilatory motive evidenced by

the moving party, or repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed. Although the District Court has discretion to grant an amendment, "outright refusal to grant the leave without any justifying reason appearing for the denial is not an exercise of discretion." *Id.* "Among the grounds that could justify a denial of leave to amend are undue delay, bad faith, dilatory motive, prejudice, and futility." *In re Burlington Coat Factory Secs. Litig.*, 114 F.3d 1410, 1434 (3d Cir. 1997) (citations omitted); *see also Lorenz v. CSX Corp.*, 1 F.3d 1406, 1413 (3d Cir. 1993). The Third Circuit has found "prejudice to the non-moving party is a touchstone for denial of an amendment." *Lorenz*, 1 F.3d at 1414.

In the instant case, Plaintiff is filing this amended complaint solely based on information learned during the discovery period. Based on paperwork and multiple depositions the alleged Defendants were not the proper parties and in fact two other police officers were the ones who participated in booking the Plaintiff. After consulting with Defense Counsel, both parties stipulated to dismissing the named Officers, and the Defense does not object to allowing the Plaintiff to file an amended complaint. Granting this motion for leave will in no manner harm, injure, or prejudice the Defense and/or unduly burden or delay the this Court in proceeding with the matter. Plaintiff asks for 21 days to be able to amend this complaint.

# IV. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request this Court enter an order granting

Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint and grant such further relief as the

Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

/S/ David Wesley Cornish, Esquire David Wesley Cornish, Esquire Bar ID #: 310865 230 South Broad Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Phone: 212-444-2039 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

**DATE**: June 21, 2021

Rakym Durham, : United States District Court

Plaintiff, : Eastern District of Pennsylvania

VS.

Officer Carr #1250 and Officer Clift #1259 : Civil Division – Civil Rights

Defendants. : Case No.: 2:20-cv-03944

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies on this day, the foregoing was filed with the Clerk of the Court and a copy was sent to the counsel of record at:

Meghan Byrnes, Esquire

City Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

# **VERIFICATION**

The facts set forth in the foregoing are true and correct to the best of the undersigned's knowledge, information and belief and are verified subject to the penalties for unsworn falsifications and perjury via the United States Code.

Respectfully Submitted,

/S/ David Wesley Cornish, Esquire
David Wesley Cornish, Esquire

Bar ID #: 310865

230 South Broad Street, 17th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102 Phone: 212-444-2039

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

**DATE**: June 21, 2021